This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

1. Strongly disagree

Instructor's name:

Please express your opinion of the following statements

Semester: FALL 2011-

2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree						
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	(2)	3	4	5	
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1.	2	3	4	(5)	
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	(2)	3	4	5	
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	Ĭ	2	3	4	5	
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	5	
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1"	2	3	4	5	
The instructor						
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1-	2	3	(4)	5	
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	5	
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	, 1	2	3	4	(5)	
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	2	3	4	(5)	
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	(4)	5	
14. was available during office hours	1.	2	3	4	5 A	Æ
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	5	
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4 💢	)(5)	
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	(5)	
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	.4	(5)	

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course.   requirement
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations? As a basic intro Spanish class yes. I disagree with the requirement.
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La
independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino de visita a quedarse?
Not particularly interesting, neither are the culture sections. Seeing Spanish in the form of Lola Lago is better.
DE MY TRANSMITTER TO THE PORT OF THE PERIOD.
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those
videos found in the Course Packet?
I did the lab activities
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?  Centro isn't bad but it can be a Drety harsh
centro isn't bad but it can be a pretty harsh grader especially the fillin the blanks as spelling with," accents can be confusing.
accents can be confising
for us to see the grammar/vocab in use and to learn new, everyday weak.
for us to see the grammar/vocab in use and to learn new,
everyday weak.
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate? <u>Yes</u>
8. List the major strengths of the course. It gives you a decent amount of basic vocab grammar, and helps you grow comfortable with
Hum by repitition.
9. How might the course be improved? Be specific. Republic is good but it should
maybe be used less democratically so as to really forus
on harder sections. Also focus more on everyday language
10. Overall, this course is: Dexcellent Derry good Degood Desatisfactory Depor Connectors as
Hum by repitition.  9. How might the course be improved? Be specific. Repitition is good but it should maybe be used less democratically so as to really forces  On harder sections. Also focus more on everyday language  10. Overall, this course is: Dexcellent Dery good Dood Disatisfactory poor connectors as they inhibit  11. Overall, the instructor is: Dexcellent Dery good Dood Disatisfactory poor english spanish the most
The class environment was for and refreshing and
the material acoa but I don't see the need for a
12. Other comments:  The class environment was fun and refreshing and  the material good but I don't see the need for a  language requirement especially for these students taking a demanding major. We are moture students and the cultural  value seems minimal
a demanding major. We are meture stulents and the cultural
value seems minimal

..

Ŋ

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Instructor's name: Tanya Loment-Hongala S	Semester:	FALL 2	011 - /2	( <u>n</u> )	
Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture		2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1 '	2	3	4	3
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	(3)	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	<b>(3)</b>	4	5
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	(3)	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	(5)
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	(2)	3	4	5
The instructor  9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	(3)	4	5
5. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	4	$\mathcal{O}$	7	ر

8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	$\binom{2}{2}$	) 3	4	5
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	(3)	4	5
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	5
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	· 1	2	3	<b>4</b>	5
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	. 2	<b>(</b>	4	5
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3		(5)
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3 <sup>f</sup>	4	(3)
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	5
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	5
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	8
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	4	(5)

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. Prequirement for fun professional reasons other
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations? Yes, whoman Ifelt rushed
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino de visita a quedarse?
were not a focus in the worse
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those videos found in the Course Packet?  Did not find the videos particularly helpful
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?  Sporadic in nerw of wa
6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa?
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate? Very appropriate ?  Managwhee
8. List the major strengths of the course.  Helt good to be writing detailed pages in spansh by my third week: Speeder ware.
9. How might the course be improved? Be specific.  By having is write down  wore   Show the llarning process. The pot presentations  we work of time when rubbed through includes  10. Overall, this course is:   excellent very good good satisfactory poor  11. Overall, the instructor is:   excellent very good good satisfactory poor
12. Other comments:  Tanya is ireadily available to meet to help and is great one on one but I often don't find the grammer explanations clear unless I have studied them from me fext book in advance

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

#### Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese:

Instructor's name:	Tanya	Lones Fongala	Semester: FALL 2011 - 12(11
--------------------	-------	---------------	-----------------------------

Please express your opinion of the following statements

- 1. Strongly disagree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Agree with some reservations
- 4. Agree
- 5. Strongly agree

1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	3
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	3	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4	<b>(</b>
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	3
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	4	<u></u>
The instructor					
ALL MADE GOVERNO					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	(5:)
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	<u>S</u>
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	(5)
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	3
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	3
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	(5)
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	(5)
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4.	<u>5</u> .
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	4	5

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. ☐ requirement ☐ for fun ☐ professional reasons ☐ other
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations? Yes, who is I felt vushed.
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino de visita a quedarse?
were not a focus in the worse
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those videos found in the Course Packet?  Bid not find the videos particularly helpful
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?  Sporadic in news of we
6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa?
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate? Very appropriate & managastics
8. List the major strengths of the course. It felt good to be writing iletailed pages is spanish by my third week. Speeder works.
9. How might the course be improved? Be specific.  By having us write down  wore from the learning process. The pot presentations  are a worst of time when rubbed moves in class  10. Overall, this course is:   excellent very good good satisfactory poor  11. Overall, the instructor is:   excellent very good good satisfactory poor
12. Other comments:  Tanya is "readily available to meet to help and is great one on one but I often don't find the grammar explanations clear unless I have studied them from me fext book in advance

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

#### Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Semester: FALL-2011 - /a

Instructor's name: Tanya Koment

17. was always punctual

18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly

Please express your opinion of the following statem 1. Strongly dis 2. Disagree 3. Agree with s 4. Agree 5. Strongly agr	agree					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of gran	nmar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	5.
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowle	dge of culture	Ĭ	2	(3)	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language prof	iciency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural compre	chension	1	2	3	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized		1	2	3	<b>4</b> °	(
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful		1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader		1	2	3	4	(3)
8. Exams and quizzes were fair		1	2	3	4	<u></u>
The instructor	-					_
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Sp	anish	1	2	3	4	5
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking	to him/her	1	2	3	4	3
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material		1	2	3	4	5
12. delivered clear, organized explanations		1	2	3	4	<b>(5)</b>
13. suggested ways for students to improve		1	2	3	4	3
14. was available during office hours		1	2	3	4	<u>(3)</u>
15. planned class activities in detail		1	2	3	4.	(5)
16. used Spanish at all times		1	2	3	4	(3)

						•
2. Did the course fulfill your eard. The other	expectations?	tans w	ere hau experie	ider than I	experted panish tha	n I e
3. How useful, interesting and	l appropriate for y	our proficiency	level were t	he readings from the	Course Packet (	L <sub>a</sub>
independencia de México, e	el poema <i>Recuerdo</i>	o <i>Infantil</i> y el fra	gmento de l	a novela <i>Tía Lola qu</i>	ue vino <del>de visita</del> d	7
quedarse?		,				
- They we	re usefu	1, inter	esking,	and appro	piate.	
,		<del></del> _				
4. Did you watch the videos in	CENTRO? Did	you find the vid	eos useful?	Did you do the acti	vities hased on th	ose
videos found in the Course Pa		) on Internet the			reed outed of a	
I found	the vis	leas and	Video	activities	very	
challenging an	d not	very her	oful.			
	_ ,,_,		F			
5. How did you like doing you						year?
Yes, the	CAN-10 1	ab assi	gament	s were	good	
review.		<del></del>				
		_				
6. How did you like the chapte	er book <i>Lejos de c</i>	casa? It	was	fine, a	and may	
6. How did you like the chapte	er book <i>Lejos de c</i>	casa? It	was	fine, a g	and may	
to end the	COURSE		was	fine, a g	od may	
to end the	COURSE		was	fine, a g	od may	
	COURSE		was	fine, a g	and may	
7. Was the amount of homewo	ork appropriate?		was			
7. Was the amount of homewo	ork appropriate?		was	Pine, a g		
7. Was the amount of homewo	ork appropriate?		was			
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the second strengths.	ork appropriate?	Yes. It is a	9000	Lintroduction	10	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.	Yes.  It is a	was good	Lintroduction	10	
7. Was the amount of homewo	ork appropriate?	Yes.  It is a	9000	Lintroduction	10	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengths of the strengths of the strengths.  9. How might the course be in	ork appropriate?  the course.	Yes.  It is a	9000	Lintroduction	no be	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.  approved? Be speci	Yes.  It is a  ific. I  acthers.	g ood	Lintroduction there co	poor	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.  approved? Be speci	Yes.  It is a  ific.  I the cs.  O very good	think	Introduction there co	poor	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.  approved? Be speci	Yes.  It is a  ific.  I the cs.  O very good	think	Introduction there co	poor	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.  approved? Be speci	Yes.  It is a  ific.  I the cs.  O very good	think	Introduction there co	poor	
7. Was the amount of homewood.  8. List the major strengths of the strengt	ork appropriate?  the course.  approved? Be speci	Yes.  It is a  ific.  I the cs.  O very good	think	Introduction there co	poor	

# COURSE EVALUATION FORM: <u>SPANISH 110</u>. This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

### Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Instructor's name: Tanya Koment-Honzala	Semester:	FALL:	<u> 2011 - /</u>	2(11)	
Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabular	y 1	2	3	4	(5)
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	3	4	(5)
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4	(5)
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	(5)
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	4	( <u>5</u> )
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	(5)
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	<b>4</b> <sup>-</sup>	3
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	(5)
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	2	3	4	3
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	(5)
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	3
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	(3) (3)
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	(3)
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	(5)

18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly

1. Reasons for enrolling in the	ais course. 😝 requ					
2. Did the course fulfill your	expectations? _	Yes	<del></del> _		B.b.	- -
3. How useful, interesting ar independencia de México, quedarse?  They were		do Infantil y el fi	ragmento de la	. novela <i>Tia Lola</i>	que vino <del>de visit</del>	a a
		<del></del>			·	_
4. Did you watch the videos videos found in the Course F	•	d you find the vi	ideos useful? J	Did you do the a	ctivities based on	those
		helofiel :	in- Lorm	s of oin	ine the	
Yes, the vide	tices			<u> </u>	J	<del></del> -
1						
5. How did you like doing yo	_		•		_	=
Centro is situations wh	good, I	like doing	them. Ho	wher, I	hove Overne	intereel
				,		
		•		_		
Situations who situations who situated of difficulty.  Was the amount of homeway.	ter book <i>Lejos de</i> Ly was ng	casa?I	·like le	ios de co	sa. The	
6. How did you like the chap	ter book <i>Lejos de</i> Yy (was night)  york appropriate?	casa?I	like Lej	ios de co	sa. The	
How did you like the chap	ter book Lejos de Lej	casa? _I fut 	y Judja	ios de co	etting and	
How did you like the chap  Level of difficulty.  Was the amount of homeway.  List the major strengths of listering chis.	ter book Lejos de Lej	casa? _I fut 	y Judja	uth spe	Eding and	
How did you like the chap  Level of difficulty.  Was the amount of homeway.  List the major strengths of listering skils.  How might the course be in	ter book Lejos de Lej	casa? _I ful	Like Lej Ludje annot this	unti spe	etring and	

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

Yale University : Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Semester: FALL 2011 - 12(11)

Instructor's name: Tanya Xement Hongala	Seme	ster: [	FALL 2	011 - /0	<u>2(11)</u>	
Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree  2. Disagree  3. Agree with some reservations  4. Agree  5. Strongly agree	•					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	f	1	2	3	4	5
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	l	1	2 .	3	4	<u>5</u>
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency		1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension		1	2	$(\overline{3})$	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized		1	2	3	Da	(5)
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	₹	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader		1	2	3	4	(5)
8. Exams and quizzes were fair		1	2	3	- 4	<b>5</b> . :
The instructor						
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish		1	2	3	4	5
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	•	1	2	3	4	5
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material		1.	2	3	4	5
12. delivered clear, organized explanations		1	2	3	4	(5)
13. suggested ways for students to improve		1	2	. 3		(5),
14. was available during office hours		1	2	3	4	(5)
15. planned class activities in detail	*	1	2	3	4	(5)
16. used Spanish at all times		1	2	(3)	. 4	5
17. was always punctual		1	2	3	4	(3)

18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly

1\*

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. Trequirement of for fun professional reasons of other
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations? Yes, I enjoyed it. I hope to become from from from from the co.
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La
independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino <del>de visita</del> a
They were interesting and added diversity to the class
penode.
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those
videos found in the Course Packet?
The videor felt too advanced for our intro level.
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?
Centro was olian. It was nice to have extra comprehesion
exercises, so I would say yes with resensations
To the state of th
6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa? It was fairly lesy to ute funde.
I was surprited that we didn't start residency it into
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate?
8. List the major strengths of the course.   liked the Small setting and how
broken of the grades were topsay
9. How might the course be improved? Be specific. Wish May we had to smore
more in class. I didn't feel like we had anoth time to
use the longrage.
10. Overall, this course is: ☐ excellent ☐ excellent ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor
11. Overall, the instructor is:  excellent very good  satisfactory  poor
27. O Totall, and histarcion is. A caronionic participation and good and satisfactory a poor
12. Other comments:
•

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

### Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Semester: FALL 2011 - /2(1/

Instructor's name: Tanya Loment Hongala

Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	5
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	5
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	3	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4.	(3)
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	5
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	<b>(4)</b>	5
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	(3)
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	(3)
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	2	3	4	5
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	5
14. was available during office hours N/A	1	2	3	4	5
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	<b>(</b>	5
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b> .
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	<b>(3</b> )
18. graded compositions, guizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	4	(3)

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. Trequirement $\square$ for fun $\square$ professional reasons $\square$ other
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations?
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino de visita a quedarse?  They were fine - noming exceptional to read but appropriate skill.
wise.
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those videos found in the Course Packet?  1 my did the required activities in Centro-
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?  1 Struggle with comprehension of the cral language soit  was probably the most useful tool besides for Tangallao  recommend its use.
6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa? It was fine - again, norning
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate? Yes. 1 believe it was fuir and adequate.
8. List the major strengths of the course. <u>Teacher always spoke in Spanish</u> and very well organized.
9. How might the course be improved? Be specific. Structured notes - actual note - taking in class or handouts.
10. Overall, this course is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor 11. Overall, the instructor is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor
12. Other comments:  Tanya was great - hope to have a great instructor like her  for next semester!

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

#### Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Semester: FALL 2011 - 12(11

(please answer questions on the back of this sheet)

Instructor's name: Tanya Komen - Honz

1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree					
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	7 1	2	3	4	5
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	3	4	<b>(5)</b> ,
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4	(3)
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4.	<b>③</b>
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	(3)
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	4	(3)
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	(5)
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	(S)
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	. 1	2	3.	4	(5)
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1 •	2	3	4	5
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	5
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	(3)
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	5
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	5
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	(5)
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	4	(3)

	3. How u	seful inter-	this course. Trequin	les!	Profi	essional reasons 🛭 other
	4. Did you v	vatch the videos in	16m m	mother p	A Neywood	ings from the Course Packet (In Tia Lola que vino de visita a seponal from my
	Centro hel	like doing your l	ab assignments in CEI  Long comp (Le			
	<u></u> -	of homework app		peded and	unt	
	- Mno	engths of the cour	· participato		•	comprehensions
0. Ove	erall, this course erall, the instruct	ic —	lent very good	good	□ satisfactory □ satisfactory	poor poor

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Instructor's name: Tanya Loment-Honzalg 8	emester: ]	FALL 2	2011 - /6	<u>(1)</u>	
Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree				, <b>•</b>	
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	(5)
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2_	3	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4	$\bigcirc$
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	<b>3</b>
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	4	3
The instructor			ts.		
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	ì	2	3	4	(5)
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	<b>(3)</b>
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	<u>(3</u> )
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	<b>(5)</b>
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	<b>(5</b> )

18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly

(please answer questions on the back of this sheet)

3

2

1

**(3)** 

2. Did the course fulfill your expectations?	•
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tia Lola que vino de visita quedarse?  Liferature and therefore a valuable inclusion	
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on to videos found in the Course Packet?  When assigned I watched the videos/did the activities.  They offered a gapla different view point on Spanish language which	
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next  I thought (entro was usef-1 especially as revein right before  a test/qviz.  6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa?  I liked it and learned a lot	12
7. Was the amount of homework appropriate? Yes, average amount	
8. List the major strengths of the course. Filly taught in spanish, home work reinforced things we learned in class, tests were appriately thank dis	t His clt
A. How might the course be improved? Be specific. Spread on the oral exams and maybe do more prartice before hand.	
0. Overall, this course is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor 1. Overall, the instructor is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor	
2. Other comments:  I think there should be a final composition with robook or parket allowed.	•

# COURSE EVALUATION FORM: <u>SPANISH 110</u> This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Instructor's name: /anya Xomes - Atrigala	Semester:	FALL 2	2 <u>011 - /</u>	<u> </u>	
Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree					-
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabular	ry l	2	3	4	(5)
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	2	3	4	<b>3</b>
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	1	2	3	4	<b>⑤</b>
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	4	(5)
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	4	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	<b>③</b>
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	1	2	3	4	(5)
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	(5)
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	(5)
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	(5)
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1	2	3	4	(5)
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	(5)
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	(5)
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	Ó
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3.	4	(S)
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	_4	(5)

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. If requirement in for fund in professional reasons in other
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations? UCS
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (La independencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tia Lola que vino de visita a quedarse?  The readings were challenging but they definitely helped me improve my Spanish (Impre News) or skills.
4. Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on those videos found in the Course Packet?  1 did not.
5. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next year?  1 liked the lictening activities in centro because they helped a Lot with my ability to underchand spanish. I do recommend that you use centre again next year.  6. How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa?  1 thought that it helped me llarn new arrimman. Also, it helped me learn some new cultural aspects of spain.  7. Was the amount of homework appropriate?  48.
8. List the major strengths of the course. <u>Gain a good Underctanding of Basic</u> Spanish armynian, learn about within of Spanish - spenking countries  9. How might the course be improved? Be specific. <u>More time to emphasize grammar</u>
10. Overall, this course is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor  11. Overall, the instructor is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor
12. Other comments:  Tanya Was an excellent professor who was generally  conterned with our performance in the class and had a  very good understanding of what she was teaching.

This evaluation is anonymous. Your instructor will receive it next semester.

## Yale University Department of Spanish and Portuguese

Instructor's name: Tanya Komer Hongale Service  Please express your opinion of the following statements  1. Strongly disagree 2. Disagree 3. Agree with some reservations 4. Agree 5. Strongly agree	emester:	FALL 2	<u>011 - 4</u>	<u>2(11)</u>	
1. Workbook assignments were a useful review of grammar and vocabulary	1	2	3	4	<b>5</b>
2. The textbook cultural sections improved my knowledge of culture	1	(2)	3	4	5
3. Compositions helped me improve my language proficiency	1	2	3	4	(5)
4. Lab homework helped me improve my aural comprehension	.1	(2)	3	4	5
5. The course syllabus was clear and well organized	1	2	3	<b>(4)</b>	5
6. The material in the Course Packet was useful	1	2	3	14	5
7. Homework was graded fairly by the grader	1	2	3	4	'5
8. Exams and quizzes were fair	Į	2	3	4	5)
The instructor					
9. encouraged students to interact with each other in Spanish	1	2	3	4	3
10. encouraged students to use Spanish when speaking to him/her	1	2	3	4	(3)
11. showed thorough knowledge of the material	1	2	3	4	5
12. delivered clear, organized explanations	1 •	2	3	4	(3)
13. suggested ways for students to improve	1	2	3	4	(5)
14. was available during office hours	1	2	3	4	5
15. planned class activities in detail	1	2	3	4	(5)
16. used Spanish at all times	1	2	3	4	(5)
17. was always punctual	1	2	3	4	(5)
18. graded compositions, quizzes, and exams fairly	1	2	3	4	(C5)

1. Reasons for enrolling in this course. Trequirement for fun professional reasons other	11
2. Did the course fulfill your expectations?	
3. How useful, interesting and appropriate for your proficiency level were the readings from the Course Packet (Lindependencia de México, el poema Recuerdo Infantil y el fragmento de la novela Tía Lola que vino de visita a	а
quedarse?	
The reading were not especially interesting but they were appropriate for con-	
Did you watch the videos in CENTRO? Did you find the videos useful? Did you do the activities based on the	se
videos found in the Course Packet?	
antities asked greations that were difficult to assessed from the video	1
. How did you like doing your lab assignments in CENTRO? Do you recommend we use CENTRO again next y	еаг?
My trocking campachanism skills - 1 + 1 1	
my troening campaharana skills "	
How did you like the chapter book Lejos de casa?	
. Was the amount of homework appropriate?	
List the major strengths of the course.  The hometer, Tanya, mad Mic inhaductory	
class interesting ! I feel tike I learned a lot vary trumposity and very quirkly from her. Her power points + supplements	^y 1
. How might the course be improved? Be specific. The was nost when Tanyon	
went beyond my textbook. More activation wing internation from textbook thing text but conside the actual textbook about would help improve the classic.	
0. Overall, this course is:  excellent every good good satisfactory poor	
1. Overall, the instructor is: ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ satisfactory ☐ poor	
2. Other comments:	
·	