Faculty Evaluation Spring 2021 (2022) RC	**Claflin University**
2021 Spring (2022)	**Claflin University**

Course:	CSCI-392 03 - Junior Seminar II (Comp Science)	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	2 / 7 (28.57%)
Overall Mean:	**3.6** Use All Semesters (22 responses)		

Graph Legend

- Shrikant Pawar
- Computer S Faculty
- All Faculty

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13
Shrikant Pawar	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0
Computer S Faculty	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.2
All Faculty	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6

| | | | **Shrikant Pawar** | | | | | **--- Survey Comparisons ---** | | | | | |
| | | **Responses** | | | **Individual** | | | **Computer** | | | **All** | | |
Faculty Evaluation Questions All		SA	A	D	DS	N	Mean	N/A	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**121**	**3.2**	91	**2.4K**	**3.6**	84
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**123**	**3.3**	87	**2.4K**	**3.6**	82

Q	Statement														
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**125**	**3.2**	30	**2.4K**	**3.6**	10
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**120**	**3.1**	59	**2.4K**	**3.6**	35
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**121**	**3.2**	85	**2.4K**	**3.5**	84
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**125**	**3.2**	52	**2.4K**	**3.5**	35
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**122**	**2.9**	63	**2.4K**	**3.5**	39
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**126**	**3.2**	63	**2.4K**	**3.5**	37
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**120**	**3.1**	59	**2.4K**	**3.5**	38
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**123**	**3.1**	50	**2.4K**	**3.5**	39
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**125**	**3.1**	59	**2.4K**	**3.5**	35
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**112**	**3.3**	85	**2.2K**	**3.6**	85
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**111**	**3.2**	91	**2.4K**	**3.6**	84

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
**Pct Rnk:** Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty Evaluation Fall 2022 RC   **Claflin University**
2022 Fall   **Claflin University**

Course:	CSCI-207 01 - Intro to Obj-Oriented Programming	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	2 / 17 (11.76%)
Overall Mean:	**3.9** Use All Semesters (26 responses)		

Graph Legend

- ■ Shrikant Pawar
- ▤ Computer S Faculty
- ▥ All Faculty

	0	1	2	3	4	
Q1						3.5 / 3.3 / 3.5
Q2						3.5 / 3.5 / 3.6
Q3						4.0 / 3.4 / 3.5
Q4						4.0 / 3.1 / 3.5
Q5						4.0 / 3.5 / 3.5
Q6						3.5 / 3.3 / 3.5
Q7						4.0 / 3.2 / 3.4
Q8						4.0 / 3.3 / 3.4
Q9						4.0 / 3.3 / 3.5
Q10						4.0 / 3.2 / 3.4
Q11						4.0 / 3.2 / 3.4
Q12						4.0 / 3.4 / 3.5
Q13						4.0 / 3.3 / 3.5

		Shrikant Pawar								--- Survey Comparisons ---					
		Responses					Individual			Computer			All		
Faculty Evaluation Questions All		SA	A	D	DS	N	Mean	N/A	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**87**	**3.3**	52	**2.3K**	**3.5**	39
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**84**	**3.5**	41	**2.3K**	**3.6**	35

Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**85**	**3.4**	82	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**87**	**3.1**	84	**2.3K**	**3.5**	85
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.5**	86	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**87**	**3.3**	61	**2.3K**	**3.5**	39
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.2**	84	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**87**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.4**	86
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.3**	89	**2.3K**	**3.5**	84
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**85**	**3.2**	86	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**86**	**3.2**	89	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**71**	**3.4**	84	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**86**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.5**	85

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
**Pct Rnk:** Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty Evaluation Fall 2022 RC   **Claflin University**
2022 Fall   **Claflin University**

Course: CSCI-207 01-L - Intro to Obj-Oriented Program Lab   **Department:** Computer S

Responsible Faculty: Shrikant Pawar   **Responses / Expected:** 2 / 9 (22.22%)

Overall Mean: **3.9** Use All Semesters   (26 responses)

Graph Legend

■ Shrikant Pawar
▤ Computer S Faculty
▤ All Faculty

	Shrikant Pawar							--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses				**Individual**			**Computer**			**All**		
Faculty Evaluation Questions All	SA	A	D	DS	**N**	**Mean**	N/A	Std Dev	**N**	**Mean**	Pct Rnk	**N**	**Mean**	Pct Rnk
Q1 The instructor is prepared for each class.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**87**	**3.3**	86	**2.3K**	**3.5**	85
Q2 The instructor encourages student participation.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**84**	**3.5**	41	**2.3K**	**3.6**	35

Q	Question	SA	A	D	DS	N	Mean			N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**85**	**3.4**	82	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**87**	**3.1**	84	**2.3K**	**3.5**	85
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.5**	86	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**87**	**3.3**	61	**2.3K**	**3.5**	39
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.2**	84	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**87**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.4**	86
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**84**	**3.3**	89	**2.3K**	**3.5**	84
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**85**	**3.2**	86	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**86**	**3.2**	89	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**71**	**3.4**	84	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**86**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.5**	85

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
**Pct Rnk:** Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

| **Faculty Evaluation Fall 2022 RC** | **Claflin University** |
| **2022 Fall** | **Claflin University** |

Course:	CSCI-391 01 - Junior Seminar I	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	2 / 12 (16.67%)
Overall Mean:	**3.2** Use All Semesters (23 responses)		

Graph Legend

■ Shrikant Pawar
▤ Computer S Faculty
▨ All Faculty

		Shrikant Pawar								*--- Survey Comparisons ---*					
Faculty Evaluation Questions All		**Responses**				**Individual**			**Computer**			**All**				
		SA	**A**	**D**	**DS**	**N**	**Mean**	**N/A**	**Std Dev**	**N**	**Mean**	**Pct Rnk**	**N**	**Mean**	**Pct Rnk**
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**87**	**3.3**	23	**2.3K**	**3.5**	13
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**3.5**	84	**2.3K**	**3.6**	83

Q	Question	SA	A	D	DS									
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**85**	**3.4**	27	**2.3K**	**3.5**	13
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	1	0	0	1	**2**	**2.5**	0	1.50	**87**	**3.1**	18	**2.3K**	**3.5**	5
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**84**	**3.5**	16	**2.3K**	**3.5**	12
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	0	0	1	**2**	**2.5**	0	1.50	**87**	**3.3**	14	**2.3K**	**3.5**	6
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**84**	**3.2**	27	**2.3K**	**3.4**	19
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**87**	**3.3**	48	**2.2K**	**3.4**	43
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**84**	**3.3**	52	**2.3K**	**3.5**	40
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**85**	**3.2**	86	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**86**	**3.2**	59	**2.3K**	**3.4**	44
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**71**	**3.4**	84	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**86**	**3.3**	52	**2.2K**	**3.5**	39

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty: **Shrikant Pawar**

Question: **Comment on the overall effectiveness of the instructor for this course.**

Response Rate: **50.00**% (**1** of **2**)

1. The instructor is typically unclear with his expectations and tends to forget details that pertain to our course versus another Junior Seminar he teaches. Other than a few broad instructions, a clear rubric is not given for assignments, and he does not respond to emails. Communication with him is poor, as he does not always give clear answers to questions.

Faculty Evaluation Fall 2022 RC   **Claflin University**
2022 Fall   **Claflin University**

Course:	CSCI-455 02 - Special Topics: Data Sceince Fund	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	2 / 10 (20%)

Overall Mean: **3.6** Use All Semesters (17 responses)

Graph Legend

■ Shrikant Pawar
▤ Computer S Faculty
▥ All Faculty

	0	1	2	3	4
Q1				4.0 / 3.3 / 3.5	
Q2				4.0 / 3.5 / 3.6	
Q3				4.0 / 3.4 / 3.5	
Q4				3.0 / 3.1 / 3.5	
Q5				4.0 / 3.5 / 3.5	
Q6				3.0 / 3.3 / 3.5	
Q7				4.0 / 3.2 / 3.4	
Q8				4.0 / 3.3 / 3.4	
Q9				4.0 / 3.3 / 3.5	
Q10				3.5 / 3.2 / 3.4	
Q11				3.0 / 3.2 / 3.4	
Q12				4.0 / 3.4 / 3.5	
Q13				4.0 / 3.3 / 3.5	

						Shrikant Pawar				--- Survey Comparisons ---					
Faculty Evaluation Questions All					**Responses**			**Individual**		**Computer**			**All**		
	SA	A	D	DS	N	Mean	N/A	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1 The instructor is prepared for each class.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**87**	**3.3**	86	**2.3K**	**3.5**	85
Q2 The instructor encourages student participation.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**3.5**	84	**2.3K**	**3.6**	83

		SA	A	D	DS									
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**85**	**3.4**	82	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**87**	**3.1**	32	**2.3K**	**3.5**	14
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**3.5**	86	**2.3K**	**3.5**	83
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**87**	**3.3**	34	**2.3K**	**3.5**	16
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**3.2**	84	**2.3K**	**3.4**	86
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**87**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.4**	86
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**3.3**	89	**2.3K**	**3.5**	84
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**85**	**3.2**	61	**2.3K**	**3.4**	45
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	1	0	1	0	**2**	**3.0**	0	1	**86**	**3.2**	27	**2.3K**	**3.4**	15
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**71**	**3.4**	84	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**86**	**3.3**	86	**2.2K**	**3.5**	85

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
**Pct Rnk:** Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty Evaluation Spring 2022 (2023) RC   **Claflin University**
2022 Spring (2023)   **Claflin University**

Course:	CSCI-392 03 - Junior Seminar II (Comp Science)	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	2 / 9 (22.22%)
Overall Mean:	**3.7** Use All Semesters (20 responses)		

Graph Legend
- Shrikant Pawar
- Computer S Faculty
- All Faculty

Q1	3.5 / 2.8 / 3.5
Q2	4.0 / 3.2 / 3.6
Q3	3.5 / 3.2 / 3.6
Q4	4.0 / 2.8 / 3.5
Q5	3.5 / 3.0 / 3.5
Q6	4.0 / 2.9 / 3.5
Q7	4.0 / 2.4 / 3.4
Q8	3.5 / 2.9 / 3.5
Q9	4.0 / 2.7 / 3.5
Q10	4.0 / 2.7 / 3.4
Q11	3.5 / 2.9 / 3.5
Q12	3.5 / 3.0 / 3.5
Q13	4.0 / 2.9 / 3.5

		Shrikant Pawar								--- Survey Comparisons ---					
Faculty Evaluation Questions All		**Responses**					**Individual**			**Computer**			**All**		
		SA	A	D	DS	N	Mean	N/A	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**91**	**2.8**	35	**2.2K**	**3.5**	38
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**92**	**3.2**	82	**2.2K**	**3.6**	83

		SA	A	D	DS		Mean		SD							
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**92**	**3.2**	41	**2.2K**	**3.6**	35
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**90**	**2.8**	85	**2.2K**	**3.5**	85
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**91**	**3.0**	62	**2.2K**	**3.5**	38
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**91**	**2.9**	85	**2.2K**	**3.5**	85
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**91**	**2.4**	85	**2.2K**	**3.4**	88
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**92**	**2.9**	53	**2.1K**	**3.5**	42
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	2	0	0	0	**2**	**4.0**	0	0	**90**	**2.7**	85	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**88**	**2.7**	85	**2.2K**	**3.4**	87
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**92**	**2.9**	35	**2.2K**	**3.5**	42
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	1	1	0	0	**2**	**3.5**	0	.50	**81**	**3.0**	59	**2K**	**3.5**	42
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	1	0	0	0	**1**	**4.0**	1	0	**84**	**2.9**	85	**2.1K**	**3.5**	87

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty: **Shrikant Pawar**

Question: **Comment on the overall effectiveness of the instructor for this course.**

Response Rate: **50.00**% (**1** of **2**)

1 Since it is a spring seminar class that follows up on the previous semester's content, we have had few classes to interact with the instructor, though the few times we did interact with him was satisfactory.

Faculty Evaluation Fall 2023 RC
2023 Fall

Claflin University
Claflin University

Course: CSCI-391 1 - Junior Seminar I

Department: Computer S

Responsible Faculty: Shrikant Pawar

Responses / Expected: 3 / 19 (15.79%)

Overall Mean: **3.5** Use All Semesters (39 responses)

Graph Legend

■ Shrikant Pawar
▤ Computer S Faculty
▨ All Faculty

		Shrikant Pawar									--- Survey Comparisons ---						
		Responses				Individual				Computer			All		
Faculty Evaluation Questions All		**SA**	**A**	**D**	**DS**	**N**	**Mean**	**N/A**	Std Dev	**N**	**Mean**	Pct Rnk	**N**	**Mean**	Pct Rnk
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	1	2	0	0	**3**	**3.3**	0	.47	**121**	**3.3**	47	**1.9K**	**3.5**	27
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	2	1	0	0	**3**	**3.7**	0	.47	**124**	**3.5**	69	**1.9K**	**3.5**	45

		SA	A	D	DS		Mean		SD	N				1.9K		
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	1	2	0	0	3	3.3	0	.47	**124**	3.5	44	**1.9K**	**3.5**	26
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**126**	3.1	72	**1.9K**	**3.5**	48
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**125**	3.5	67	**1.9K**	**3.5**	48
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**125**	3.2	72	**1.9K**	**3.5**	50
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**124**	2.9	81	**1.9K**	**3.4**	55
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	1	2	0	0	3	3.3	0	.47	**126**	3.2	58	**1.9K**	**3.4**	33
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**123**	3.1	81	**1.9K**	**3.5**	53
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	1	2	0	0	3	3.3	0	.47	**125**	3.1	56	**1.9K**	**3.4**	31
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**124**	3.2	75	**1.9K**	**3.5**	52
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	1	1	1	0	3	3.0	0	.82	**119**	3.3	25	**1.8K**	**3.5**	18
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	2	1	0	0	3	3.7	0	.47	**121**	3.3	72	**1.9K**	**3.5**	51

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty: **Shrikant Pawar**

Question: **Comment on the overall effectiveness of the instructor for this course.**

Response Rate: **33.33**% (**1** of **3**)

1 Very effective and detail oriented

| **Faculty Evaluation Spring 2023 (2024) RC** | **Claflin University** |
| **2023 Spring (2024)** | **Claflin University** |

Course:	CSCI-492 01 - Senior Seminar II	**Department:**	Computer S
Responsible Faculty:	Shrikant Pawar	**Responses / Expected:**	4 / 14 (28.57%)
Overall Mean:	**3.4** Use All Semesters (52 responses)		

Graph Legend

■ Shrikant Pawar
▤ Computer S Faculty
▨ All Faculty

Q	Shrikant Pawar	Computer S Faculty	All Faculty
Q1	3.5	3.4	3.6
Q2	3.3	3.6	3.6
Q3	3.3	3.6	3.6
Q4	3.5	3.3	3.6
Q5	3.5	3.6	3.5
Q6	3.3	3.4	3.5
Q7	3.0	3.3	3.5
Q8	3.5	3.4	3.5
Q9	3.3	3.4	3.5
Q10	3.5	3.4	3.5
Q11	3.3	3.4	3.5
Q12	3.5	3.5	3.5
Q13	3.5	3.4	3.6

		Shrikant Pawar							**--- *Survey Comparisons* ---**					
	Faculty Evaluation Questions All	**Responses**					**Individual**			**Computer**			**All**		
		SA	**A**	**D**	**DS**	**N**	**Mean**	**N/A**	**Std Dev**	**N**	**Mean**	**Pct Rnk**	**N**	**Mean**	**Pct Rnk**
Q1	The instructor is prepared for each class.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**91**	**3.4**	53	**1.7K**	**3.6**	36
Q2	The instructor encourages student participation.	2	1	1	0	**4**	**3.3**	0	.83	**89**	**3.6**	20	**1.7K**	**3.6**	20

Q#	Question	SA	A	D	DS									
Q3	The instructor shows enthusiasm for the subject matter.	2	1	1	0	**4**	**3.3**	0	.83	**91**	**3.6**	25	**1.7K**	**3.6**	19
Q4	The instructor clearly outlines the expectations of this course in a syllabus distributed within the second class meeting.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**90**	**3.3**	53	**1.7K**	**3.6**	36
Q5	The instructor has concern and respect for students, and is objective in his/her treatment of students.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**90**	**3.6**	45	**1.7K**	**3.5**	37
Q6	The instructor gives feedback to students.	2	1	1	0	**4**	**3.3**	0	.83	**90**	**3.4**	45	**1.7K**	**3.5**	24
Q7	The instructor gives clear explanations and examples.	2	1	0	1	**4**	**3.0**	0	1.22	**90**	**3.3**	28	**1.7K**	**3.5**	19
Q8	The instructor uses technology and models it to enhance student learning.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**91**	**3.4**	53	**1.7K**	**3.5**	41
Q9	The instructor properly manages class time.	2	1	1	0	**4**	**3.3**	0	.83	**90**	**3.4**	43	**1.7K**	**3.5**	26
Q10	The instructor grades and returns materials properly.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**88**	**3.4**	50	**1.7K**	**3.5**	40
Q11	The instructor challenges me to think, study, and learn.	2	1	1	0	**4**	**3.3**	0	.83	**90**	**3.4**	28	**1.7K**	**3.5**	24
Q12	The instructor is available during office hours.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**87**	**3.5**	50	**1.6K**	**3.5**	38
Q13	The instructor follows course syllabus.	2	2	0	0	**4**	**3.5**	0	.50	**89**	**3.4**	58	**1.7K**	**3.6**	36

Responses: [**SA**] Strongly Agree=4 [**A**] Agree=3 [**D**] Disagree=2 [**DS**] Disagree Strongly=1

Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Faculty: **Shrikant Pawar**

Question: **Comment on the overall effectiveness of the instructor for this course.**

Response Rate: **25.00**% (**1** of **4**)

1. Since this course is a direct followup to the previous Senior Seminar, he should have possession of the same information and materials as our instructor for the previous Senior Seminar. However, this was not the case, which led to confusion and misunderstandings. Additionally, when assignments are given, he provides vague instructions in the beginning but defines clearer expectations when the deadline is almost present. An example of this is providing a mediocre sample paper which did not contain required sections, only to provide an actual template the day before it is due.